

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/637,153	08/08/2003	Yoshiaki Aso	NGB-14961	3408	
	7590 12/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP 4080 ERIE STREET			THERKORN	THERKORN, ERNEST G	
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7836			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/637,153		ASO, YOSHIAKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ernest G. 1	herkorn	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply		1		Iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenimunication. 30) days, a reply within the statuto that the statutory period will apply and will by will.	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this cor	mmunication.
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>August 21, 2003&</i>	November 20. 2003.		
_	2b)⊠ This action is not			
3)☐ Since this application is in condition			secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the pract				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in t	he application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		ideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election red	uirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are		objected to by the F	xaminer	
Applicant may not request that any obje				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			` '	R 1 121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority undo	r 35 9 0 - 8 110/a)	(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Tot Totolgii priority unde	1 33 0.3.0. § 118(a)-	(u) Or (I).	
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received		
2. Certified copies of the priority			n No	
3. Copies of the certified copies				itane
application from the Internation			a m ano matonar e	augu -
* See the attached detailed Office action			I .	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A	Interview Summary (I	PTO 442'	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e′.	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5	Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-	152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			-	
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part	of Paper No./Mail Date	e 12032004

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not teach how the parallel sets of Figure 3 can be formed in the Figure 2 device with Figure 1 plates.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763). The claim is considered to be anticipated by Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763). However, if a difference exists between the claim and Ashmead (U.S.

Art Unit: 1723

Patent No. 5,690,763), it would reside in optimizing the elements of Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763). It would have been obvious to optimize the elements of Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763) to enhance mixing.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hemker (U.S. Patent No. 3,856,270). At best, the claim differs from Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763) in reciting use of a plurality of sets. Hemker (U.S. Patent No. 3,856,270) (column 1, lines 27-40) discloses that use of a repeating series of plates provides for rapid and thorough blending. It would have been obvious to use a repeating series of plates in Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763) because Hemker (U.S. Patent No. 3,856,270) (column 1, lines 27-40) discloses that use of a repeating series of plates provides for rapid and thorough blending.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763). The claims are considered to be anticipated by Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763), it would reside in optimizing the elements of Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763). It would have been obvious to optimize the elements of Ashmead (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,763) to enhance mixing.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(A) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481 including the submitted translation. The claim is considered to be anticipated

Art Unit: 1723

by Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481 including the submitted translation.

However, if a difference exists between the claim and Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481 including the submitted translation, it would reside in optimizing the elements of Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481. It would have been obvious to optimize the elements of Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481 including the submitted translation to enhance mixing.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481 including the submitted translation as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hemker (U.S. Patent No. 3,856,270). At best, the claim differs from Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481 including the submitted translation in reciting use of a plurality of sets. Hemker (U.S. Patent No. 3,856,270) (column 1, lines 27-40) discloses that use of a repeating series of plates provides for rapid and thorough blending. It would have been obvious to use a repeating series of plates in Japan Patent Publication No. 2003-156481 including the submitted translation because Hemker (U.S. Patent No. 3,856,270) (column 1, lines 27-40) discloses that use of a repeating series of plates provides for rapid and thorough blending.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1723

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT December 3, 2004